

How Models That Use Medical and Social Risk Can Advance Health Equity

Arlene S. Ash, Ph.D.

University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA

Statistical Methods for Risk Adjustment in Health Care

Federal Institute for Quality Assurance and Transparency in Healthcare

Berlin, Germany • 18 March 2021





Risk Adjustment (RA) and Me (AA) ...

- Math PhD and Health Services Researcher at BU/BMC (1984 – 2009)
 - Developed *models that use medical diagnoses to predict population-based total cost* (with Randy Ellis) for US Medicare (CMS)
 - Founded DxCG, Inc, 1996*; RA consulting in Germany, 2000 – 2008
 - We received 2008 AcademyHealth Impact Award**
- Professor of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences and Division Chief at UMMS since 2009 <https://www.umassmed.edu/PQHS>
- *Worldwide use of medical risk models*: State and commercial health care payers and managers use DxCG and similar models (CMS-HCC, HHS-HCC) to summarize medical complexity from ICD codes, age and sex

Goal: Allocate health care budgets fairly and efficiently



Language conventions for this talk

- We seek equity for *under-resourced* (not “vulnerable”) *vs. more privileged* groups – generically, in the US, *B vs. W*
- If race is not a biological reality, can “race” be a risk factor?
 - In the US, *black race is a marker for the effects of racism*
 - Micro-inequities, discrimination in housing, jobs, etc.
 - Just as “living in a deprived neighborhood” is a marker for
 - Community-wide deficits: eg, pollution, poor schools, unsafe streets, crime
 - Greater chance of individual risk: eg, bad housing, poor food
- We seek input from community advisors (not “stakeholders”)
 - Encourage focus on solving the problem* (not protecting turf)
- An accountable care organization (*ACO, “plan”*) manages a budget to care for its population

How do we advance health equity?

- Requires improving health for under-resourced groups
- My view of what is needed:
 - *Convene advisory groups* to
 - Identify key problems (eg, black maternal mortality)
 - Brainstorm solutions
 - Get community buy-in
 - *Develop and evaluate interventions*
 - Could include designing real-time RCTs (“learning laboratories”)
 - *Disseminate successful strategies*



Since 2014: Measure social risk, focus on equity

- We work with MassHealth* to *model medical and social risk*
- We also participate in initiatives to encourage systems to
 - *Collaborate* across and beyond health care delivery “silos”
 - *Use better data and models* to
 - Pay fairly
 - Judge quality fairly
 - Evaluate system performance
 - Evaluate interventions (understand ROI)
 - *Promote health equity*

Common goals of RA-based payment and quality measures

- Set normative benchmarks
 - Don't punish plans that care for sicker (more complex) populations
 - Don't reward plans that avoid sick people
- Encourage interventions that improve quality
 - Support investments in evidence-based interventions (eg, medically tailored meals for people with diabetes)
 - Don't punish plans for problems they don't control (eg, not enough mental health providers in a region)
- New focus on *health equity*
 - Monitor systems to identify problems and opportunities to improve
 - Support, measure and reward positive change

Data for understanding health care and health

- *Individual-level factors*

- Age, sex and medical risks (diagnoses)
- SDOH: Social risks, eg, homelessness, hunger
- Services received within and beyond the health care delivery system
- Service costs

- *Neighborhood-level factors*

- Socio-economic deprivation
 - Eg, joblessness, poverty, poor housing stock
- Environmental or direct threats to health
 - Eg, pollution, crime, food deserts

- Individual- and neighborhood-level factors *complement* each other

MassHealth's 2016 SDOH payment model

- Predicts concurrent total annual cost from:
 - Age, sex
 - Medical morbidity, summarized in a DxCG score
 - Disability markers (client of DMH, client of DDS, other disabled)
 - SMI
 - SUD
 - Housing problems (homelessness or unstably housed)
 - NSS, a neighborhood stress score
- Concurrent $R^2 = 57.2\%$
- See: Ash AS, Mick EO, Ellis RP, Kiefe CI, Allison JJ, Clark MA. *Social Determinants of Health in Managed Care Payment Formulas*. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(10):1424–1430. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.3317

What is the Neighborhood Stress Score?

We use American Community Survey Census Block Group (or Tract) data:

- % of families with incomes < 100% of US Federal Poverty Level (FPL)
- % < 200% of FPL
- % of adults who are unemployed
- % of households receiving public assistance
- % of households with no car
- % of households with children and a single parent
- % of people age 25 or older who have no HS degree
- We standardize each score and average them
- There are many similar “area-level scores” in the US and in Europe



Learn more from area-level data?

- *Improve the NSS*
 - Use more variables, consider interactions among variables
 - Eg, (% of households with vehicle) \times (public transit density)
- *Develop scores for distinct SDOH dimensions, eg,**
 - Demographics, including race and ethnicity
 - Economic status
 - Social and neighborhood characteristics
 - Housing and transportation
- *Link stressors to health and suggest interventions, eg,*
 - Pollution \rightarrow close the coal-fired power plant, asthma interventions
 - Unemployment \rightarrow job assistance interventions, develop a CHW workforce**



More on using data and models for policy

- Need coherent longitudinal data to
 - Understand program performance and evaluate interventions
- What is the problem? Eg,
 - Is it something a plan can fix?
 - Is it due to a community-wide undersupply of key resources*
- How can it be fixed? Eg,
 - Coordinate across existing organizations?
 - Change laws, eg, medical licensure**
 - Change policies, eg, allow for virtual visits
 - Fund workforce development?

Limitations of data for RA

- We *at most* know when people get services
 - Not necessarily what services were needed
 - Some services may not have codes, or be recorded
- We *at most* know what health problems were coded
 - Adequately precise codes may not exist, such as codes for functional issues (eg, toileting) and social risks (eg, isolation)
 - Existing codes may be underused (eg, stigmatized problems, trouble sleeping, housing problems)
- So, be thoughtful (and humble)



Cost is a powerful, flawed proxy for “severity” or need

- Many RA models are built to predict cost
 - Their risk scores predict other outcomes (eg, mortality, hospitalization) well
 - They behave like generic “medical morbidity” measures – so have been used to decide which patients are referred for special attention (ie, case management)
- Discrimination → less access, less apparent disease AND less use than needed
 - Ziad Obermeyer, Brian Powers, Christine Vogeli and Sendhil Mullainathan. *Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science* 366 (6464), 447-453. DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342
- In our MassHealth models, *higher NSS scores are associated with*
 - Greater recorded morbidity (higher DxCG scores), and
 - *Excess cost, even after accounting for DxCG scores**



Does risk adjusting a quality measure either “hide” or “forgive” discriminatory care?

- All *risk scores “hide” differences*
 - Whether a model uses or leaves out “race,” scores hide differences by race.
- Modeling *with race* doesn’t “expect” the same outcomes for B vs. W
 - It doesn’t penalize plans with normative outcomes for Bs (even when worse than for Ws)*
 - However, the more a plan improves outcomes for Bs, the better its performance is judged
- *Learning about differences for subgroups requires looking at subgroups!*
- RA modeling alone does not reveal mechanisms of inequity
 - Understanding inequity requires distinct analyses
 - Modelers can explore potential mechanisms driving inequitable outcomes



Modeling with longitudinal data

- Key policy work is to evaluate data over time – but it's tricky!
- Requires great data management
 - With stable data capture, RA can compare outcomes even when the population changes
 - Without this, does the population look sicker because
 - Better data capture makes similar people look sicker?
 - The population is older?
 - The population really is sicker?*

Beyond risk-adjusted payment

- RA payments and quality measures encourage plans to care for sick people
 - We must *also* invest in interventions designed to remedy health deficits for under-resourced groups
 - Neither substitutes for the other
- *Risk modeling* > *risk adjustment*
 - Risk modeling should be used to
 - Reveal issues and potential mechanisms for addressing them
 - Enable system monitoring and evaluation
 - Support real-world RCTs of interventions

A few innovative SDOH Interventions

- NOWPOW, <https://www.nowpow.com>
 - Multi-sided self-care referral platform
- SIREN, <https://sirennetwork.ucsf.edu>
 - Social Interventions Research & Evaluation Network, Center for Health and Community at the University of California, San Francisco
 - improve health and health equity by advancing high quality research on health care sector strategies to improve social conditions
- COMMUNITY SERVINGS, <https://www.servings.org>
 - Home-delivered, “medically tailored,” meals and nutrition services to individuals and families living with critical and chronic illnesses

THANK YOU

Arlene.Ash@umassmed.edu